HT 3611

TPE 10, 200 WE

**PATENT** 

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON

March 33, 2004

SIGNATURE J-23-04

DATE

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicants** 

Bryan W. Shirk et al.

Serial No.

09/817,672

Filing Date

March 26, 2001

For

**BIODEGRADABLE VEHICLE** 

**COMPONENTS** 

**Group Art Unit** 

3611

Examiner

Lee S. Lum

Attorney Docket No.

TRW(VSSIM)4686-1

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1400

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

APR 0 1 2004

RESPONSE

**GROUP 3600** 

Sir:

This letter responds to the Office Action dated December 23, 2003.

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the present Remarks/Argument is respectfully requested.

## Remarks/Argument

The Applicants respectfully disagree that the claims are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. The Examiner argues that it is chemically illogical to have "a fiber consisting essentially of a polyhydroxyalkanoate resin" because this suggests a composition such as cotton "consisting mainly of polyhydroxyalkanoate resin" which is frankly nonsensical.

The claim language "a fiber consisting essentially of a polyhydroxyalkanoate resin" is not nonsensical. A fiber as defined in Hawley's Condensed Chemical